From Policy Gradient to Actor-Critic methods The policy gradient derivation (2/3)

Olivier Sigaud

Sorbonne Université http://people.isir.upmc.fr/sigaud



Limits of Algorithm 1

- Needs a large batch of trajectories or suffers from large variance
- The sum of rewards is not much informative
- Computing R from complete trajectories is not the best we can do

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sim \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{H} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \pi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{a}_{t}^{(i)} | \mathbf{s}_{t}^{(i)}) R(\tau^{(i)})$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{H} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \pi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{a}_{t}^{(i)} | \mathbf{s}_{t}^{(i)}) [\sum_{t=1}^{H} r(\mathbf{s}_{t}^{(i)}, \mathbf{a}_{t}^{(i)})]$$

$$* \text{ split into two parts}$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{H} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \pi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{a}_{t}^{(i)} | \mathbf{s}_{t}^{(i)}) [\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} r(\mathbf{s}_{k}^{(i)}, \mathbf{a}_{k}^{(i)}) + \sum_{k=t}^{H} r(\mathbf{s}_{k}^{(i)}, \mathbf{a}_{k}^{(i)})]$$

$$* \text{ past rewards do not depend on the current action}$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{H} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \pi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{a}_{t}^{(i)} | \mathbf{s}_{t}^{(i)}) [\sum_{k=t}^{H} r(\mathbf{s}_{k}^{(i)}, \mathbf{a}_{k}^{(i)})]$$

$$\text{https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S_gwyj1Q-44 (28')$$

From Policy Gradient to Actor-Critic methods

Algorithm 2



- Same as Algorithm 1
- But the sum is incomplete, and computed backwards
- Slightly less variance, because it ignores irrelevant rewards

DES SYSTÈME

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Discounting rewards

Introducing the action-value function

$$\sum_{k=t}^{H} \gamma^{k-t} r(\mathbf{s}_{k}^{(i)}, \mathbf{a}_{k}^{(i)}) \text{ can be rewritten } Q_{(i)}^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t}^{(i)}, \mathbf{a}_{t}^{(i)})$$

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \sim \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{H} \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}^{(i)} | \mathbf{s}_{t}^{(i)}) Q_{(i)}^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t}^{(i)}, \mathbf{a}_{t}^{(i)})$$

- It is just rewriting, not a new algorithm
- But suggests that the gradient could be just a function of the local step if we could estimate Q^{πg}_(i)(s_t, a_t) in one step

Estimating $Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a)$

- ▶ Instead of estimating $Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{(i)}[Q^{\pi_{\theta}}_{(i)}(s, a)]$ from Monte Carlo,
- ▶ Build a model $\hat{Q}^{\pi_{\theta}}_{\phi}$ of $Q^{\pi_{\theta}}$ through function approximation
- Two approaches:
 - Monte Carlo estimate: Regression against empirical return

$$\phi_{j+1} \to \arg\min_{\phi_j} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{H} (\sum_{k=t}^{H} \gamma^{k-t} r(\mathbf{s}_k^{(i)}, \mathbf{a}_k^{(i)}) - \hat{Q}_{\phi_j}^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t^{(i)}, \mathbf{a}_t^{(i)}))^2$$

► Temporal Difference estimate: init $\hat{Q}_{\phi_0}^{\pi_{\theta}}$ and fit using $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, r, \mathbf{s}')$ data

$$\phi_{j+1} \to \min_{\phi_j} \sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, r, \mathbf{s}')} ||r + \gamma f(\hat{Q}_{\phi_j}^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}', .)) - \hat{Q}_{\phi_j}^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})||^2$$

$$f(\hat{Q}_{\phi_j}^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}',.)) = \max_{\mathbf{a}} \hat{Q}_{\phi_j}^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}) \text{ (Q-learning), } = \hat{Q}_{\phi_j}^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}',\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}')) \text{ (AC)...}$$

May need some regularization to prevent large steps in φ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S_gwYj1Q-44 (36')

Martin Riedmiller. Neural fitted Q iteration-first experiences with a data efficient neural reinforcement learning method. In European Conference on Machine Learning, pp. 317-328. Springer, 2005

András Antos, Csaba Szepesvári, and Rémi Munos. Fitted Q-iteration in continuous action-space MDPs. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pp.9–16, 2008.

Monte Carlo versus Bootstrap approaches



- Three options:
 - MC direct gradient: Compute the true $Q^{\pi_{\theta}}$ over each trajectory
 - ► MC model: Compute a model $\hat{Q}^{\pi\theta}_{\phi}$ over rollouts using MC regression, throw it away after each policy gradient step
 - Bootstrap: Update a model Q^π_φ over samples using TD methods, keep it over policy gradient steps

With bootstrap, update everything from the current state, see next lessons

Next lesson: adding a baseline

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

From Policy Gradient to Actor-Critic methods

Any question?



Send mail to: Olivier.Sigaud@upmc.fr





András Antos, Csaba Szepesvári, and Rémi Munos.

Fitted Q-iteration in continuous action-space mdps. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pp. 9–16, 2008.



Martin Riedmiller.

Neural fitted Q iteration-first experiences with a data efficient neural reinforcement learning method. In European Conference on Machine Learning, pp. 317–328. Springer, 2005.

